



(2)

NO. 90-806

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**  
OCTOBER TERM, 1990

CITY OF WILCOX, ARIZONA, ARIZONA  
ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.,  
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF  
NEW YORK, INC., AMERICAN PUBLIC  
GAS ASSOCIATION, and ASSOCIATED  
GAS DISTRIBUTORS.

*Petitioners,*

v.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,  
*Respondent.*

**BRIEF OF PRODUCER RESPONDENTS  
IN OPPOSITION**

\*THOMAS G. JOHNSON  
JACKSON & WALKER  
P.O. Box 4771  
Houston, Texas 77210-4771  
(713) 752-4326

CHARLES J. McCLEES, JR.  
JAMES A. RUOFF  
*Attorneys for Shell Offshore  
Inc. and Shell Western  
E&P Inc.*  
c/o Shell Oil Company  
P.O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463  
(713) 241-4484

*\*Counsel of Record*

REX E. LEE  
EUGENE R. ELROD  
CARTER C. PHILLIPS  
GENE C. SCHAEER  
SIDLEY & AUSTIN  
1722 Eye Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 429-4000

January 23, 1991

(Additional Counsel Listed on Inside Front Cover)

WILLIAM H. EMERSON  
JACK M. WILHELM  
AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY  
P.O. Box 87703  
Chicago, Illinois 60680

*Attorneys for  
Amoco Production Company*

LEWIS L. WILLIAMS  
MARIO M. GARZA  
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 1330  
Houston, Texas 77251-1330

*Of Counsel*

R. GORDON GOOCH  
TRAVIS & GOOCH  
1100 15th Street, N.W.  
Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20005

F. NAN WAGNER  
TRAVIS & GOOCH  
800 Gessner, Suite 250  
Houston, Texas 77024

*Attorneys for  
Anadarko Petroleum Corporation*

LAURIE O. WEST  
APACHE CORPORATION  
Suite 1900  
One United Bank Center  
1700 Lincoln Street  
Denver, CO 80203-4519

*Of Counsel*

RICHARD G. MORGAN  
LANE & MITTENDORF  
919 18th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

*Attorneys for  
Apache Corporation*

NORMA J. ROSNER  
KATHLEEN E. MACRUMER  
ARCO OIL AND GAS COMPANY  
P.O. Box 2819  
Dallas, Texas 75221

*Attorneys for  
Arco Oil and Gas Company*

GARY CELESTINO  
BRIAN BELL  
ASHLAND EXPLORATION INC.  
Suite 200  
14701 St. Mary's Lane  
Houston, Texas 77079

*Attorneys for  
Ashland Exploration Inc.*

GERALD P. THURMOND  
CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.  
1301 McKinney, Room 2200  
Houston, Texas 77002

*Of Counsel*

DAVID J. EVANS  
PILLSBURY, MADISON & SUTRO  
Suite 1100  
1667 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006

*Attorneys for  
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.*

ERNEST J. ALTGELT, III  
CONOCO INC.  
McLean Building  
P.O. Box 2197  
Houston, Texas 77252

*Attorney for  
Conoco Inc.*

C. ROGER HOFFMAN  
D. W. RASCH  
SALVATORE J. CASAMASSIMA  
EXXON CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 2180  
Houston, Texas 77252-2180

*Attorneys for  
Exxon Corporation*

TONI D. HENNIKE  
GERALD M. BENDO  
HUNT OIL COMPANY  
Fountain Place  
1445 Ross at Field  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2785  
*Attorneys for  
Hunt Oil Company*

JOHN J. AKINS  
KERR-McGEE CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 25861  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125  
*Attorney for  
Kerr-McGee Corporation*

ROBERT C. MURRAY  
MARATHON OIL COMPANY  
P.O. Box 4813  
Houston, Texas 77210  
*Attorney for  
Marathon Oil Company*

R. BRENT HARSHMAN  
MAXUS ENERGY CORPORATION  
3100 Maxus Energy Tower  
717 North Harwood St.  
Dallas, Texas 75201-6505  
*Attorney for  
Maxus Energy Corporation*

RICHARD M. BLUMBERG  
MERIDIAN OIL INC.  
P.O. Box 4239  
Houston, Texas 77210  
*Attorney for  
Meridian Oil Inc.*

PAUL F. O'KONSKI  
RANDOLPH C. BRUTON  
MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION  
P.O. Box 4000  
The Woodlands, Texas 77380  
*Attorneys for  
Mitchell Energy Corporation*

JAY G. MARTIN  
MOBIL NATURAL GAS INC.  
12450 Greenspoint Drive  
Houston, Texas 77060-1991  
*Attorneys for  
Mobil Natural Gas Inc. and  
Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing  
Southeast Inc.*

MICHAEL L. PATE  
OXY USA INC.  
P.O. Box 300  
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102  
*Attorney for  
OXY USA Inc.*

JOHN B. CHAPMAN  
SYLVIA McCORMACK  
PENNZOIL COMPANY  
P.O. Box 2967  
Houston, Texas 77252  
*Of Counsel  
JOHN K. McDONALD  
BUTLER & BINION  
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
*Attorneys for  
Pennzoil Company**

LARRY PAIN  
LUKE A. MICKUM  
PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY  
PHILLIPS 66 NATURAL GAS  
COMPANY  
1256 Adams Building  
Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74004  
*Attorneys for  
Phillips Petroleum Company  
Phillips 66 Natural Gas Company*

RONALD D. HURST  
PLACID OIL COMPANY  
3900 Thanksgiving Tower  
1601 Elm Street  
Dallas, TX 75201  
*Attorney for  
Placid Oil Company*

JOHN WOLFE  
ROSEWOOD RESOURCES, INC.  
200 Crescent Court, Suite 300  
Dallas, Texas 75201

*Attorney for  
Rosewood Resources, Inc.*

RALPH J. PEARSON, JR.  
TEXACO INC.  
P.O. Box 52332  
Houston, Texas 77052

*Attorney for  
Texaco Inc.*

KENNETH L. RIEDMAN, JR.  
UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA  
Union Oil Center—Room 1120  
P.O. Box 7600  
Los Angeles, California 90051

*Attorney for  
Union Oil Company of California  
d/b/a Unocal*

KERRY R. BRITTAIN  
ALAN W. TOMME  
UNION PACIFIC RESOURCES COMPANY  
Mail Station No. 4010  
P.O. Box 7  
Fort Worth, Texas 76101-0007

*Attorneys for  
Union Pacific Resources Company*

TIMOTHY JACQUET  
UNION TEXAS PETROLEUM CORP.  
1330 Post Oak Blvd.  
P.O. Box 2120  
Houston, Texas 77252-2120

*Attorney for  
Union Texas Petroleum Corp.*

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether a Commission regulation authorizing automatic pregranted abandonment of a customer's firm transportation service at the end of its contract with the transporting pipeline constitutes deregulation of abandonments in contravention of Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717f(b).

2. Whether Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717f(b), permits the Commission to prescribe in advance and on a generic basis the conditions under which the abandonment of services will be authorized, rather than requiring case-by-case adjudication.

**PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING**

To supplement the list of parties provided in the Petition, and in compliance with this Court's Rule 29.1, Respondents submit a complete list of their companies, parent companies and subsidiaries which are not wholly owned subsidiaries, in Appendix A attached hereto.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED .....	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING .....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iv
SUMMARY OF THE STATUS OF THIS CASE .....	1
REASONS FOR DENYING PETITION .....	2
APPENDIX A, PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING ....	1a



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page
<i>American Construction Co. v. Jacksonville T&amp;K Ry Co.</i> , 148 U.S. 372 (1893) .....	2, 5
<i>American Gas Association v. FERC</i> , 912 F.2d 1496 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (case below Petition Appendix 1a-46a) .....	2
<i>Associated Gas Distributors v. FERC (AGD-1)</i> 824 F.2d 981 (D.C. Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 485 U.S. 1006 (1988) .....	8
<i>Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen v. Bangor &amp; A. RR Co.</i> , 389 U.S. 327 (1967) .....	2, 5
<i>Federal Power Commission v. Colorado Interstate Gas Co.</i> , 348 U.S. 492 (1955) .....	8
<i>Federal Power Commission v. Texaco Inc.</i> , 377 U.S. 33 (1964) .....	6
<i>Federal Power Commission v. Moss</i> , 424 U.S. 494 (1976) .....	8
<i>Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros. &amp; Co.</i> , 240 U.S. 251 (1916) .....	2, 5
<i>Heckler v. Campbell</i> , 461 U.S. 458 (1983) .....	6
<i>Helvering v. Tex-Penn Oil Co.</i> , 300 U.S. 481 (1952) .....	8
<i>Helvering v. Wood</i> , 309 U.S. 344 (1940) .....	8
<i>Kaneb Services v. Federal Sav. &amp; Loan Ass'n</i> , 650 F.2d 78 (5th Cir. 1981) .....	8
<i>Mobil Oil Exploration &amp; Producing Southeast Inc. et al. v.</i> <i>United Distribution Companies, et al.</i> , Case Nos. 89-1452 and 89-1453, 59 U.S.L.W. 4054 (U.S. Jan. 8, 1991) ....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Mobil Oil Exploration &amp; Producing Southeast v. Federal</i> <i>Energy Regulatory Commission</i> , 885 F.2d 209 (5th Cir. 1989), rev'd <i>Mobil Oil Exploration &amp; Producing South-</i> <i>east Inc. v. United Distribution Companies, supra</i> .....	2, 3, 4
<i>Permian Basin Area Rate Cases</i> , 390 U.S. 747 (1968) .....	6
<i>Unemployment Compensation Commission v. Aragon</i> , 329 U.S. 143 (1946) .....	8, 9
<i>United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. McCombs</i> , 442 U.S. 529 (1979) .....	3, 8
<i>United States v. Storer Broadcasting Co.</i> , 351 U.S. 192 (1956) .....	6
<i>United States v. L.C. Tucker Truck Lines</i> , 344 U.S. 33 (1952) .....	8
<i>Wilson v. Hodel</i> , 758 F.2d 1369 (10th Cir. 1985) .....	8

## STATUTES

15 U.S.C. § 717f(b) .....	3
---------------------------	---



**V**

**REGULATIONS**

18 C.F.R. § 284.221(d) .....	5, 7
18 C.F.R. § 385.206 (1986) .....	6

**ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS**

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp., 46 F.E.R.C. ¶ 61,364 (1989); 47 F.E.R.C. ¶ 61,244 (1989) .....	7
---	---

**TEXTS**

R. Stern, E. Gressman & S. Shapiro, Supreme Court Prac- tice 225 (6th Ed. 1986) .....	5
--	---



1  
NO. 90-806

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**  
OCTOBER TERM, 1990

---

CITY OF WILCOX, ARIZONA, ARIZONA  
ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.,  
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF  
NEW YORK, INC., AMERICAN PUBLIC  
GAS ASSOCIATION, and ASSOCIATED  
GAS DISTRIBUTORS.

*Petitioners,*

v.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION,  
*Respondent.*

---

**BRIEF OF PRODUCER RESPONDENTS  
IN OPPOSITION**

---

**SUMMARY OF STATUS OF THIS CASE**

This Court's decision in *Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast Inc., et al. v. United Distribution Companies, et al.* (herein called *Mobil Oil*), Case Nos. 89-1452 and 89-1453, issued January 8, 1991, dooms this Petition. Question No. 2 raised by Petitioners is, as they allege,<sup>1</sup> the same question raised by the Solicitor General in Case No. 89-1453, and resolved by the Court in his favor, rejecting the Petitioners' position.<sup>2</sup> Question No. 1 is subsumed in Question No. 2, and the arguments on

---

1. Petition p. i, note 1; p. 13.

2. *Mobil Oil* slip op. at 13-16.

which it is based are undermined by the Court's reasoning rejecting similar arguments by Respondents in *Mobil Oil*, many of whom joined in the Petition here. To the extent that the decision below may be distinguishable from Order 451, which was sustained in *Mobil Oil*, the issue is not ripe for review, as the D.C. Circuit remanded the question of the exercise in the context here of the Commission's power to allow pregranted abandonments.<sup>3</sup> On this issue the D.C. Circuit Opinion as to which review is sought<sup>4</sup> is merely interlocutory, and certiorari does not lie.<sup>5</sup>

Finally, in their Brief to the court of appeals, Petitioners conceded that the Commission has jurisdiction to approve pregranted abandonment by generic rule, and are therefore precluded from raising it here.

### REASONS FOR DENYING PETITION

Since the filing of the Petition in this case on November 21, 1990, the Court issued its opinion in *Mobil Oil* on January 8, 1991. That decision is controlling on the issues raised in the Petition for Certiorari in this case. As Petitioners stated at page 14 of the Petition, in comparing the court of appeals decision below with the Fifth Circuit's decision in *Mobil Oil*:<sup>6</sup>

The power exercised by the Commission in both instances is the same. The statutory foundation for

---

3. Petition at 14.

4. *American Gas Association v. FERC*, 912 F.2d 1496 (D.C. Cir. 1990), Pet. app. at 1a-46a; see pp 42a, 43a.

5. See court of appeals opinion, Pet. app at 42a; generally, at 38a-42a. *Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen v. Bangor & A. RR Co.*, 389 U.S. 327, 328 (1967); *Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros. & Co.*, 240 U.S. 251, 258 (1916); *American Construction Co. v. Jacksonville T&K Ry. Co.*, 148 U.S. 372, 384 (1893).

6. *Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast Inc. v. FERC*, 885 F.2d 209 (5th Cir. 1989).

the assertion of power, Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, in both instances is the same. Consequently, a decision in the *Mobil* case may have a precedential effect on the decision below.

Producer Respondents agree. The Petition should therefore be denied.

The Petition alleges only two reasons for granting the writ: (1) Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act<sup>7</sup> requires the Commission to inquire into case-specific facts, so the Commission has no jurisdiction to exercise its abandonment authority in advance, in a generic proceeding relying on industry-wide facts;<sup>8</sup> and, (2) the court of appeals' decision is in conflict with the decision of the Fifth Circuit in *Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southeast v. FERC*<sup>9</sup>, and this Court's opinion in *United Gas Pipe Co. v. McCombs*.<sup>10</sup>

This Court discussed Petitioner's arguments in the *Mobil Oil* case, and held:

[W]e reject the suggestion that this provision [Section 7(b) of the NGA] mandates individualized proceedings involving interested parties before specific abandonment can take place. (Slip op at 13.)

[N]othing in § 7(b) prevents the Commission from giving advance approval of abandonment. *FPC v. Moss*, 424 U.S. 494, 499-501 (1976). (Slip op. at 14.)

---

7. 15 U.S.C. § 717f(b).

8. Petition at 9-10.

9. See note 6.

10. 442 U.S. 529 (1979). See Petition at 11-12. *The Mobil Oil* court distinguished *McCombs* on the grounds that that case dealt with an attempted abandonment "with no Commission approval, finding, or hearing whatsoever," see slip op. at 16.

Contrary to respondents' theory, § 7(b) does not compel the agency to make "specific findings" with regard to every abandonment when the issues involved are general. (Slip op. at 15.)

Before promulgating Order No. 451, the agency held both a notice and comment hearing and an oral hearing. As it correctly concluded, § 7(b) required no more. Time and again, "[t]he Court has recognized that even where the agency's enabling statute requires it to hold a hearing, the agency may rely on its rule-making authority to determine issues that do not require case-by-case consideration. (Slip op. at 15.)

Petitioners pointed out that Question No. 2 raised in their Petition is identical to that raised by the Solicitor General in the *Mobil Oil* case.<sup>11</sup> The Fifth Circuit opinion in *Mobil Oil*<sup>12</sup> answered the question in the negative (Petition at 13.) The across-the-board reversal of the Fifth Circuit's decision in *Mobil Oil*<sup>13</sup> leaves no room for doubt that the Petition should be denied as to Question 2.

The only matter which possibly is not resolved by *Mobil Oil* is the scope of the Commission's power to issue the Orders below in the context of the facts of this case. This is the issue presented in Question No. 1, and is in part existence of jurisdiction and in part exercise of jurisdiction. to the extent it is directed to the existence of the Commission's jurisdiction, it is subsumed in Question No. 2 and decided by *Mobil Oil* adversely to Petitioners. To the extent it is directed to the exercise of the Commission's jurisdiction, it has been remanded by the court of appeals and is therefore not ripe for certiorari.

---

11. See note 1, of Petition; also p. 13 of Petition.

12. *Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing Southwest v. FERC*, 885 F.2d 209 221-223. (1989).

13. Slip op. at 8, 13-16, 18.

On the issue of the application of the Commission's powers in the context of this proceeding, Petitioners prevailed in the court of appeals, and the Orders were remanded for reconsideration and an adequate explanation of "how pregranted abandonment trumps another basic precept of natural gas regulation-protection of gas customers from pipeline exercise of monopoly power through refusal of service at the end of a contract period."<sup>14</sup> The court of appeals refused to set aside the regulation (18 C.F.R. § 284.221(d)) as all parties agreed that it had some application, but required the Commission to issue a final rule addressing the matter within 90 days (on or before February 13, 1991).<sup>15</sup> Therefore, on this issue the court of appeals' decision is merely an interlocutory order, not reviewable on certiorari.<sup>16</sup>

In addition to the remand ordered by the court of appeals, consumers have other opportunities in cases before the Commission in which they can assert that the generic rule should not be applied to them. The Commission has preserved a "safety valve" to protect customers in the event the customer is unable to obtain a "rollover" transportation agreement at the time the initial transportation agreement expires. The customer can raise

---

14. See court of appeals opinion, Pet. app. at 42a-43a; generally, at 38a-42a.

15. Producer Respondents take the position that while the Commission clearly has jurisdiction to order pregranted abandonment as provided in the Orders below, whether the Commission *should* issue such an order under the facts here as a matter of policy is in doubt, and take no position on this question.

16. *Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen v. Bangor & A. RR Co.*, 389 U.S. 327, 328 (1967); *Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros. & Co.*, 240 U.S. 251, 258 (1916); *American Construction Co. v. Jacksonville T&K Ry Co.*, 148 U.S. 372, 384 (1893); see also R. STERN, E. GRESSMAN & S. SHAPIRO, *SUPREME COURT PRACTICE* 225 (6th Ed. 1986).



the issue in a general rate case involving the pipeline transporter, in a proceeding in which the pipeline seeks to impose a gas inventory charge, or in a complaint proceeding brought by the customer under 18 C.F.R. § 385.206.<sup>17</sup>

In *Mobil Oil*, the Court found that purchasers objecting to the application of the abandonment rule to their particular circumstances could object by filing a complaint with the Commission under 18 C.F.R. § 385.206 (1986).<sup>18</sup> As the Commission specifically recognized this right in the Orders below,<sup>19</sup> the use of the complaint procedure as a "safety valve" prevents any claim by Petitioners that they will not have an adequate opportunity to present their particular circumstances to the Commission as grounds for their exclusion from the general rule.

In *Permian Basin Area Rate Cases*,<sup>20</sup> this Court found that the existence of a "special relief" provision operated to save general rules prescribed by the Commission which might operate against a particular producer in a confiscatory manner. In *Heckler v. Campbell*,<sup>21</sup> this Court sustained general guidelines, as long as a particular claimant could offer evidence that the guideline should not apply to him.<sup>22</sup> Thus the promise of the Commission<sup>23</sup> to

---

17. See Order 500-I, Pet. app. at 311a-313a; generally at 309a to 330a.

18. Slip op. at 16.

19. Pet. app. at 312a.

20. 390 U.S. 747, 770-772 (1968).

21. 461 U.S. 458 (1983).

22. *Id.* at 467; accord, *FPC v. Texaco, Inc.*, 377 U.S. 33, 40 (1964); *United States v. Storer Broadcasting Co.*, 351 U.S. 192 (1956).

23. Pet. app. at 312a.

consider in an individual case the refusal of a pipeline to extend the firm transportation agreement (i.e., "obtain a rollover contract") is particularly significant. To the extent that the "safety valve" is inadequate, the issue is not ripe for review, as Petitioners have another opportunity to raise this issue to the Commission on remand from the court of appeals (*see pp. 4-5, supra.*)

Petitioners' assertion that Section 284.221(d) does not obligate the pipeline to enter into good faith negotiations with a customer for an extension of the contract's term<sup>24</sup> is specious. Instead, the Commission specifically stated in Order 500-I (pp. 311a-313a) that it would consider the *failure* of the pipeline to so negotiate in a complaint proceeding brought by the customer, or might adopt such a provision as a condition to a pipeline's gas inventory charge upon a proper showing by the customer, citing a case in which this issue had already been raised.<sup>25</sup>

Moreover, in their briefs to the court of appeals, Petitioners conceded Question No. 2. In the proceeding below before the court of appeals, Petitioners conceded in their Joint Initial Brief, on page 8, the following:

It is true that the Commission is not foreclosed from acting by general rule to adopt a "*procedure* under which pregranted abandonment may be authorized in appropriate cases," *FPC v. Moss*, 424 U.S. 494, 501 (1976) (emphasis added by Petitioners) and it can be assumed for purposes of the present argument that with "proper findings supported by sub-

---

24. Petition at 12 n. 11.

25. Pet. app. at 313a, citing *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.*, 46 F.E.R.C. § 61,364 at 62,143-4 (1989); 47 F.E.R.C. § 61,244 at 61,848 (1989).

stantial evidence," *Id.* at 502, the Commission could provide generically for pregranted abandonment of certificated service. Nonetheless, the abandonment decision must rest in the Commission's, not the applicant's control. *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. McCombs*, 442 U.S. 529, 539 (1979). (emphasis in original)

Referring to the concession quoted above, the court of appeals noted:

[P]etitioners quite rightly, and necessarily, concede that the Commission may decide on abandonment *in advance*, even before service has begun, *see FPC v. Moss*, 424 U.S. 494, 501 (1976), and that it may make such a determination generically, covering an entire class of cases, *see* Joint Brief of Indicated LDCs, State Commissions, State Agencies, and End Users, on Issue of Pregranted Abandonment of Firm Service at 8; *see also AGD I*, 824 F.2d at 1015 n. 7; . . .<sup>26</sup> (emphasis in original)

In view of their concession of Question No. 2 in the court of appeals, which accurately predicted this Court's decision in *Mobil Oil*, Petitioners are precluded from raising the same question on certiorari.<sup>27</sup>

The Court's opinion in *Mobil Oil* resolves in favor of the Commission all of the issues which Petitioners seek

---

26. Pet. app. 36-37a.

27. *Federal Power Commission v. Colorado Interstate Gas Co.*, 348 U.S. 492 (1955); *Helvering v. Wood*, 309 U.S. 344, 348-349 (1940); *Helvering v. Tex-Penn Oil Co.*, 300 U.S. 481, 498 (1937); *United States v. L. C. Tucker Truck Lines*, 344 U.S. 33, 37 (1952); *Unemployment Compensation Commission v. Aragon*, 329 U.S. 143, 155 (1946); *Kaneb Services v. Federal Sav. & Loan Ass'n*, 650 F.2d 78, 81 (5th Cir. 1981); *Wilson v. Hodel*, 758 F.2d 1369 (10th Cir. 1985).

to raise. To the extent that these issues are not resolved by *Mobil Oil*, they are not ripe for review, as they will be considered by the Commission pursuant to the remand of the court of appeals. The Petition for Writ of Certiorari should therefore be denied.

Respectfully submitted.

/s/ THOMAS G. JOHNSON

\*THOMAS G. JOHNSON  
JACKSON & WALKER  
P.O. Box 4771  
Houston, Texas 77210-4771  
(713) 752-4326

CHARLES J. MCCLEES, JR.  
JAMES A. RUOFF  
Attorneys for Shell Offshore  
Inc. and Shell Western  
E&P Inc.  
c/o Shell Oil Company  
P.O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463  
(713) 241-4484

REX E. LEE  
EUGENE R. ELROD  
CARTER C. PHILLIPS  
GENE C. SCHAEER  
SIDLEY & AUSTIN  
1722 Eye Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 429-4000

\*Counsel of Record

## AND ON BEHALF OF:

WILLIAM H. EMERSON  
 JACK M. WILHELM  
 AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY  
 P.O. Box 87703  
 Chicago, Illinois 60680

*Attorney for  
 Amoco Production Company*

LEWIS L. WILLIAMS  
 MARIO M. GARZA  
 ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION  
 P.O. Box 1330  
 Houston, Texas 77251-1330

*Of Counsel*

R. GORDON GOOCH  
 TRAVIS & GOOCH  
 1100 15th Street, N.W.  
 Suite 1200  
 Washington, DC 20005

F. NAN WAGONER  
 TRAVIS & GOOCH  
 800 Gessner, Suite 250  
 Houston, Texas 77024

*Attorneys for  
 Anadarko Petroleum Corporation*

LAURIE O. WEST  
 APACHE CORPORATION  
 Suite 1900  
 One United Bank Center  
 1700 Lincoln Street  
 Denver, CO 80203-4519

*Of Counsel*

RICHARD G. MORGAN  
 LANE & MITTENDORF  
 919 18th Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20006

*Attorneys for  
 Apache Corporation*

NORMA J. ROSNER  
 KATHLEEN E. MACGRUDER  
 ARCO OIL AND GAS COMPANY  
 P.O. Box 2819  
 Dallas, Texas 75221

*Attorneys for  
 Arco Oil and Gas Company*

GARY CELESTINO  
 BRIAN BELL  
 ASHLAND EXPLORATION INC.  
 Suite 200  
 14701 St. Mary's Lane  
 Houston, Texas 77079

*Attorneys for  
 Ashland Exploration Inc.*

GERALD P. THURMOND  
 CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.  
 1301 McKinney, Room 2200  
 Houston, Texas 77002

*Of Counsel*

DAVID J. EVANS  
 PILLSBURY, MADISON & SUTRO  
 Suite 1100  
 1667 K Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20006

*Attorneys for  
 Chevron U.S.A. Inc.*

ERNEST J. ALTGELT, III  
 CONOCO INC.  
 McLean Building  
 P.O. Box 2197  
 Houston, Texas 77252

*Attorney for  
 Conoco Inc.*

C. ROGER HOFFMAN  
 D. W. RASCH  
 SALVATORE J. CASAMASSIMA  
 EXXON CORPORATION  
 P.O. Box 2180  
 Houston, Texas 77252-2180

*Attorneys for  
 Exxon Corporation*

TONI D. HENNIKE  
 GERALD M. BENDO  
 HUNT OIL COMPANY  
 Fountain Place  
 1445 Ross at Field  
 Dallas, Texas 75202-2785

*Attorneys for  
 Hunt Oil Company*

JOHN J. AKINS  
 KERR-McGEE CORPORATION  
 P.O. Box 25861  
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125  
*Attorney for  
 Kerr-McGee Corporation*

ROBERT C. MURRAY  
 MARATHON OIL COMPANY  
 P.O. Box 4813  
 Houston, Texas 77210  
*Attorney for  
 Marathon Oil Company*

R. BRENT HARSHMAN  
 MAXUS ENERGY CORPORATION  
 3100 Maxus Energy Tower  
 717 North Harwood St.  
 Dallas, Texas 75201-6505  
*Attorney for  
 Maxus Energy Corporation*

RICHARD M. BLUMBERG  
 MERIDIAN OIL INC.  
 P.O. Box 4239  
 Houston, Texas 77210  
*Attorney for  
 Meridian Oil Inc.*

PAUL F. O'KONSKI  
 RANDOLPH C. BRUTON  
 MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION  
 P.O. Box 4000  
 The Woodlands, Texas 77380  
*Attorneys for  
 Mitchell Energy Corporation*

JAY G. MARTIN  
 MOBIL NATURAL GAS INC.  
 12450 Greenspoint Drive  
 Houston, Texas 77060-1991  
*Attorneys for  
 Mobil Natural Gas Inc. and  
 Mobil Oil Exploration & Producing  
 Southeast Inc.*

MICHAEL L. PATE  
 OXY USA INC.  
 P.O. Box 300  
 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102  
*Attorney for  
 OXY USA Inc.*

JOHN B. CHAPMAN  
 SYLVIA MCCORMACK  
 PENNZOIL COMPANY  
 P.O. Box 2967  
 Houston, Texas 77252  
*Of Counsel  
 JOHN K. McDONALD  
 BUTLER & BINION  
 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20006*

*Attorneys for  
 Pennzoil Company*

LARRY PAIN  
 LUKE A. MICKUM  
 PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY  
 PHILLIPS 66 NATURAL GAS  
 COMPANY  
 1256 Adams Building  
 Bartlesville, Oklahoma 74004  
*Attorneys for  
 Phillips Petroleum Company  
 Phillips 66 Natural Gas Company*

RONALD D. HURST  
 PLACID OIL COMPANY  
 3900 Thanksgiving Tower  
 1601 Elm Street  
 Dallas, TX 75201  
*Attorney for  
 Placid Oil Company*

JOHN WOLFE  
 ROSEWOOD RESOURCES, INC.  
 200 Crescent Court, Suite 300  
 Dallas, Texas 75201

*Attorney for  
 Rosewood Resources, Inc.*

RALPH J. PEARSON, JR.  
 TEXACO INC.  
 P.O. Box 52332  
 Houston, Texas 77052

*Attorney for  
 Texaco Inc.*

KENNETH L. RIEDMAN, JR.  
 UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA  
 Union Oil Center—Room 1120  
 P.O. Box 7600  
 Los Angeles, California 90051

*Attorney for  
 Union Oil Company of California  
 d/b/a Unocal*

KERRY R. BRITTAIN  
 ALAN W. TOMME  
 UNION PACIFIC RESOURCES COMPANY  
 Mail Station No. 4010  
 P.O. Box 7  
 Fort Worth, Texas 76101-0007

*Attorneys for  
 Union Pacific Resources Company*

TIMOTHY JACQUET  
 UNION TEXAS PETROLEUM CORP.  
 1330 Post Oak Blvd.  
 P.O. Box 2120  
 Houston, Texas 77252-2120

*Attorney for  
 Union Texas Petroleum Corp.*



**APPENDIX A**

Following is a list of the Respondents joining in this Brief. Pursuant to Rule 29.1, parent companies and subsidiaries (except wholly owned subsidiaries), if any, are listed under each such Respondent.

**AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY**

Amoco Company (parent)  
Amoco Corporation (parent)  
East Texas Salt Water Disposal Company  
Gravacap, Inc.  
Heat Transfer Research, Inc.  
Sultran, Ltd.

**ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

(Anadarko Petroleum has no parent corporation or subsidiaries other than those it wholly owns.)

**APACHE CORPORATION**

Apache Corporation is publicly owned, but has no parent, subsidiary, or affiliated companies which are publicly owned.

**ARCO OIL & GAS COMPANY**

Atlantic Richfield Company (parent)  
85819 Canada Limited  
Agro Internacional, S. de R. L. de C. V.  
Alyeska Pipeline Service Company  
ARCO Channelview, Inc.  
ARCO Chemical Company  
ARCO China Inc.  
ARCO Solar Nigeria Ltd.  
Badger Pipeline Company  
Black Lake Pipe Line Company  
Blair Athol Coal Pty, Limited  
Colonial Pipeline Company  
Compania de Petroleo Ganso Azul, Ltda  
Compania Minera Dos Republicas S.A. de C.V.  
Cook Inlet Pipe Line Company

Dixie Pipeline Company  
East Texas Salt Water Disposal Co.  
Iricon Agency Ltd.  
Kenai Pipe Line Company  
Kuparuk Transportation Company  
Las Quintas Serenas Water Company  
Logan Aluminum Inc.  
Lyondell Petrochemical Company  
Murfill Pty. Ltd.  
Platte Pipe Line Company  
Showa ARCO Solar Far East Pte. Ltd.  
Tecumseh Pipe Line Company  
Texas-New Mexico Pipe Line Company

#### ASHLAND EXPLORATION, INC.

Ashland Exploration Holdings, Inc. (parent)  
(Ashland Exploration, Inc. has no  
subsidiaries other than those it wholly owns.)

#### CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.

Chevron Corporation (parent)  
Atlas Supply Company  
Felix Oil Company  
Pembroke Company, Inc.

#### CONOCO INC.

E. I. Du Pont De Nemours, Inc. (parent)  
Big Sky of Montana Realty, Inc.  
Cit-Con Oil Corporation  
Conch International Methane Ltd.  
Conoco Amazonas Limited  
Conoco Arabia Limited  
Conoco Buton Ltd.  
Conoco Cabinda (Angola) Ltd.  
Conoco Cegonha (Angola) Ltd.  
Conoco Dabaa Ltd.  
Conoco El Hamma (Tunisia) Ltd.  
Conoco Fagbur Ltd.  
Conoco Iraq Ltd.

Conoco Kayes (Congo) Ltd.  
 Conoco Kouilou (Congo) Ltd.  
 Conoco N-dombo (Gabon) Ltd.  
 Conoco North Ras Qattara Ltd.  
 Conoco North Sitra Ltd.  
 Conoco Onango (Gabon) Ltd.  
 Conoco Peru  
 Conoco South Umbarka Ltd.  
 Conoco Spain Ltd.  
 Conoco Warim Ltd.  
 Conoco West Ras Qattara Ltd.  
 Conoco Yemen (Aden) Ltd.  
 Conoco Yemen (Sanaa) Ltd.  
 Dubai Exploration Onshore Company  
 Felix Oil Company  
 Jupiter Chemicals, Inc.  
 Kettleman North Dome Association  
 Oberrheinische Mineraloelwerke  
 Petrocokes, Ltd.  
 Petroleum Terminals, Inc.  
 The Standard Shale Products Company  
 Tideland Royalty Trust

#### EXXON CORPORATION

(Exxon Corporation has no parent corporation.)  
 Exxon Capital Corporation  
 Exxon Capital Holdings Corporation  
 Exxon Capital Ventures Inc.  
 Exxon Credit Corporation  
 Exxon Financial Services Company Limited  
 Exxon Funding B. V.  
 Exxon Pipeline Company  
 Exxon Shipping Company  
 Exxon Supply Company  
 Imperial Oil Limited  
 Interhome Energy Inc.  
 Scurry-Rainbow Oil Limited

#### HUNT OIL COMPANY

Hunt Consolidated, Inc. (parent)

Portal Pipe Line Company  
Poloma Pipe Line Company

**KERR-McGEE CORPORATION**

Kerr-McGee Corporation has no parent, subsidiary or affiliate companies which are publicly owned.

**MARATHON OIL COMPANY**

USX Corporation (parent)  
Texas Oil & Gas Corporation (parent)  
Arctic LNG Transportation Company Kenai  
LNG Corporation  
Oil Insurance Limited  
Polar LNG Shipping Corporation

**MAXUS ENERGY CORPORATION**

Maxus Energy Corporation is a publicly owned corporation. It has a subsidiary or affiliate, Diamond Shamrock Offshore Partners Limited Partnership, which is also publicly owned. Maxus Energy Corporation has no other parent, subsidiary, or affiliated companies which are publicly owned.

**MERIDIAN OIL INC.**

Meridian Oil Inc. is a subsidiary of Burlington Resources Inc., a publicly owned corporation. Meridian Oil Inc. has no other parent, subsidiary, or affiliate corporations which are publicly owned.

**MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION**

Mitchell Energy Corporation is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mitchell Energy Development Corporation. Mitchell Energy Corporation has no subsidiaries or affiliate corporations which are publicly owned.

**MOBIL NATURAL GAS INC. and  
MOBIL OIL EXPLORATION & PRODUCING  
SOUTHEAST, INC.**

Mobil Corporation (parent)  
Paloma Pipe Line Company

**OXY USA Inc.**

Occidental Petroleum Corporation (parent)  
 (OXY USA Inc. has no subsidiaries other than those  
 it wholly owns.)  
 IBP, Inc. (affiliate)

**PENNZOIL**

(Pennzoil Company has no parent corporation.)  
 Jiffy Lube International, Inc.

**PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY**

(Phillips Petroleum Company has no parent corporation.)  
 Venezoil, C.A.

**PHILLIPS 66 NATURAL GAS COMPANY**

Phillips Petroleum Company (parent)  
 Kenai LNG Corporation  
 Arctic LNG Transportation Company  
 Polar LNG Shipping Corporation

**PLACID OIL COMPANY**

Placid Oil Company is a privately owned corporation.  
 Placid Oil Company has no parent, subsidiary or  
 affiliated companies which are not wholly  
 owned.

**ROSEWOOD RESOURCES, INC.**

(Rosewood Resources has no parent corporation or  
 subsidiaries other than those it wholly owns.)

**SHELL OFFSHORE INC. and  
SHELL WESTERN E & P INC.**

Shell Offshore, Inc. and Shell Western E&P Inc.  
 are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Shell Energy  
 Resources Inc. which is, in turn, a wholly-  
 owned subsidiary of Shell Oil Company. Shell  
 Oil Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of  
 Shell Petroleum Inc. which is owned 60% by  
 Royal Dutch Petroleum Company and 40%  
 by the "Shell" Transport and Trading Com-  
 pany, p. l. c., both of which are publicly owned

companies. The following companies are partly-owned subsidiaries or affiliates of Shell Oil Company:

Agripro Biosciences, Inc.  
Al Furst Petroleum Company  
Butte Pipe Line Company  
Catalyst Recovery Europe, S.A.  
Cortez Capital Corp.  
Cortez Pipeline Company, a Texas partnership  
CRI International, Inc.  
CRI Far East Trading Co., Ltd.  
CRI-SAM, Ltd.  
CRI Ventures, Inc.  
Criterion Catalyst Company  
Dixie Pipeline Company  
East Texas Salt Water Disposal Company  
Explorer Pipeline Company  
Grande Ecaille Land Company, Inc.  
Gravacap, Inc.  
Heat Transfer Research, Inc.  
Inland Corporation  
LOCAP, Inc.  
LOOP, Inc.  
Lucky Chance Mining Company, Inc.  
MESBIC Financial Corporation of Houston  
Nippon CRI, Ltd.  
Oil Companies Institute for Marine Pollution  
Compensation Limited  
Oil Insurance Limited  
Olympic Pipe Line Company  
Pecten Cameroon Company  
Pecten Portugal Company S.A.R.L.  
Plantation Pipe Line Company  
Premix/E.M.S. Inc.  
Saudi Petrochemical Company  
TIME Safety Products, Ltd.  
United Scientific, Inc.  
Van Salt Water Disposal Company  
West Shore Pipe Line Company

Wolverine Pipe Line Company  
Wyoming Industrial Development Corporation

**TEXACO INC.**

(Texaco Inc. has no parent corporation.)  
Getty Oil Company  
Texam Cogeneration Company  
Texaco Pipeline Inc.  
Texaco Exploration and Production Inc.  
Texaco Refining and Marketing Inc.  
Texaco Trading and Transportation Inc.  
Norsk Texaco Oil A/S  
S.A. Texaco Belgium N.V.  
Texaco A/S  
Texaco Britain Limited  
Texaco Denmark Inc.  
Texaco Investments (Netherlands), Inc.  
Texaco (Ireland) Limited  
Texaco Limited  
Texaco North Sea U.K. Company  
Texaco Oil Aktiebolag  
Texaco Petroleum Maatschappij (Nederland) B.V.  
Refineria Panama S.A.  
Refineria Texaco de Honduras, S.A.  
Texaco Brasil S.A.—Productos de Petróleo  
Texaco Caribbean Inc.  
Texaco Nigeria Limited  
Texaco Panama Inc.  
Texaco Petroleum Company  
Texaco Puerto Rico Inc.  
Texaco Trinidad, Inc.  
Texaco Petroleum Company  
Texaco Chemical Company  
Texaco International Financial Corporation  
Texaco International Trader Inc.  
Texaco Overseas Holdings Inc.  
Texaco Overseas Petroleum Company  
Texaco Overseas Tankship Ltd.  
Canada Texaco Inc.



**8a**

Four Star Oil & Gas Company  
Texaco Canada Petroleum Inc.  
Texaco Refining and Marketing Holdings Inc.

**UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA**  
d/b/a UNOCAL  
Unical Exploration Partners, Ltd.

**UNION PACIFIC RESOURCES COMPANY**  
Union Pacific Corporation (parent)  
CPC Resources Corporation  
Golden Spike Indonesia, Inc.  
Harbor Service Stations, Inc.  
Quality Aggregate Company  
Rocky Mountain Energy Company  
Union Pacific Arguello Pipeline, Inc.  
Union Pacific Australia PTY Ltd.  
Union Pacific Energy Company  
Union Pacific Fuels, Inc.  
Union Pacific Gas Gathering, Inc.  
Union Pacific Gas Pipeline, Inc.  
Union Pacific Gas Processing Company  
Union Pacific International Petroleum Company  
Union Pacific Malaysia, Inc.  
Union Pacific Minerals, Inc.  
Union Pacific Petrochemicals, Inc.  
Union Pacific Pipeline Company  
Union Pacific Pipeline, Inc.  
Union Pacific Refining, Inc.  
Union Pacific Resources Inc.  
Union Pacific Resources Indonesia, Inc.  
Union Pacific Trading Company  
UPR (Canada) Ltd.

**UNION TEXAS PETROLEUM CORPORATION**  
Union Texas Petroleum Holdings, Inc. (parent)  
Union Texas Exploration Corporation  
Union Texas International Corporation